

## Announcements

HW3 posted (due Wed. 2/12 @ 9am via Gradescope)  
HW1 graded (will be released later today)

Let  $F$  be a field. Goal for today:

test when  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is irred.

Last time:

Prop: If  $\deg p \leq 3$ , then

$p$  is reducible in  $F[x] \iff p$  has a root in  $F$

Rational root theorem: Let  $R$ : UFD,  $F$  its field of fractions

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in R[x].$$

Let  $r/s \in F$  be a root of  $p$  in lowest terms,  
then  $r|a_0$  and  $s|a_n$ .  $\quad \text{gcd}(r,s) = 1$

Cor: If  $p(x) \in R[x]$  is monic, then

$p$  has a root  $\iff$   $p$  has a root  
in  $R$   $\quad \iff \quad$  in  $F$

E.g.: Consider  $p(x) = x^3 - 3x - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . We have

$$p(1) = -3 = 0 \quad p(-1) = 1 = 0,$$

so by the rational root theorem,  $p$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\deg p = 3$ , it is irred. over  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Prop:  $R$ : ring,  $I \subseteq R$  ideal. Let  $p(x) \in R[x]$  be a nonconstant monic poly. If  $\bar{p}(x)$  is irred in  $(R/I)[x]$ , then  $p(x)$  is irred. in  $R[x]$ .

Pf: If  $p$  is reducible over  $R$ ,  $p = ab$ , then  $\bar{p} = \bar{a}\bar{b}$ , and if  $p$  and thus  $\bar{p}$  are monic, this is a nontrivial factorization.  $\square$

E.g.:  $p = x^3 - 3x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightsquigarrow \bar{p} = x^3 + x + 1$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[x]$

$\bar{p}(0) = 1 \neq 0$ ,  $\bar{p}(1) = 1 \neq 0$ , so  $\bar{p}$  is irred. in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[x]$  hence irred. in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Remark: converse doesn't hold:

$x^4 - 72x^2 + 4$  is reducible in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[x]$   
for every  $n$ , but irred. in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Eisenstein's Criterion: Let  $a(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime s.t.

$p | a_i \forall i$  and  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ ,

then  $a$  is irred. in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  (and  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ )

Pf: If  $a = b \cdot c$ , then  $\bar{b} \cdot \bar{c} = \bar{a} = x^n$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$ .

Let  $b = b_k x^k + b_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0$

$$c = c_l x^l + c_{l-1} x^{l-1} + \dots + c_0$$

Then, applying the polynomial mult. rules:

$$0 = \bar{a}_0 = \bar{b}_0 \bar{c}_0 \quad (0)$$

$$0 = \bar{a}_1 = \bar{b}_1 \bar{c}_0 + \bar{b}_0 \bar{c}_1 \quad (1)$$

$$0 = \bar{a}_2 = \bar{b}_2 \bar{c}_0 + \bar{b}_1 \bar{c}_1 + \bar{b}_0 \bar{c}_2 \quad (2)$$

$$0 = \overline{a_{n-1}} = \overline{b_{k-1}} \overline{c_l} + \overline{b_k} \overline{c_{l-1}} \quad (n-1)$$

$$0 \neq 1 = \underbrace{\overline{b_k} \overline{c_l}}_{\text{top coeff. of } \bar{a}(x)} \quad (n)$$

Now, since by equation (0)  $\overline{b_0} \overline{c_0} = 0$ , at least one of them is 0. We claim that both are.

WLOG, suppose  $\overline{c_0} = 0$ , and assume  $\overline{b_0} \neq 0$ .

By equation (n),  $\overline{b_k} \neq 0$ ,  $\overline{c_l} \neq 0$ , so let i be minimal such that  $\overline{c_i} \neq 0$ .

Then equation (i) states that

$$\overline{b_0} \overline{c_i} + \overline{b_1} \overline{c_{i-1}} + \dots + \overline{b_i} \overline{c_0} = 0, \quad (*)$$

where if  $i > k$ , we set  $\overline{b_j} := 0$  for  $j > k$ .

By assumption,  $\overline{c_0} = \dots = \overline{c_{i-1}} = 0$ , so equation (\*) becomes

$$\overline{b_0} \overline{c_i} = 0,$$

A contradiction, since we have previously assumed that  $\overline{b_0}$  and  $\overline{c_i}$  are nonzero.

Therefore, we have  $\overline{b_0} = \overline{c_0} = 0$ , so  $b_0$  and  $c_0$  are multiples of p. Therefore,  $a_0 = b_0 c_0$  is a multiple of  $p^2$ , contradicting the hypothesis that it's not  $\square$

Remark: Essentially the same proof works to prove:

Let  $a(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in R[x]$

If  $P \subseteq R$  is a prime ideal s.t.

$$a_i \in P \quad \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 \notin P^2,$$

then  $a$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  and  $\overset{\leftarrow}{F[x]}$  field of fractions

Done with Part I of course: rings and factorization

---

Small teaser for Chapter 13:

Recall: A field is a comm. ring w/ 1 in which every nonzero elt. has an inverse

Examples:  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  ( $p$ : prime)

$\mathbb{Q}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{rational functions} \\ \text{functions} \end{array} \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}, P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \right\} = \text{field of fractions of } \mathbb{Q}[x]$

$$\mathbb{Q}((t)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{formal Laurent} \\ \text{power series} \end{array} \quad a_n t^n + a_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \dots, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{Q}(i)$  "Gaussian rationals"

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$$

nth root  
of 1

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$$

$$D \in \mathbb{Q}$$