

Announcement

Please select pages for each problem
for Gradescope submissions

Recall: last time we said that every elt.
of $F(\alpha, \beta)$ is an F -linear comb. of $a_i b_j$,
where $\{a_i\}$ (resp. $\{b_j\}$) form a basis for $F(\alpha, \beta) / F(\alpha)$
(resp $F(\alpha) / F$)

Tower Law: Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$. Then,

$$[L:F] = [L:K][K:F]$$

Example: $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\underbrace{\sqrt[6]{2}}_{\alpha})$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}) \quad \beta &= a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2 + d\alpha^3 + e\alpha^4 + f\alpha^5 \\ &= (a+d\sqrt{2}) + (b+e\sqrt{2})\alpha + (c+f\sqrt{2})\alpha^2\end{aligned}$$

Basis for $K/F : 1, \sqrt{2}$

Basis for $L/K : 1, \alpha, \alpha^2$

Basis for $L/F : 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4, \alpha^5$
 $\sqrt{2}, \alpha\sqrt{2}, \alpha^2\sqrt{2}$

Pf: First assume RHS is finite.

$$n := [K:F] \quad \text{basis: } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$$

$$m := [L:K] \quad \text{basis: } \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in L$$

We claim that $\{\gamma_{ij} := \alpha_i \beta_j \in L\}$ forms an F -basis for L .

Let $l \in L$. Since $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ basis for L/K ,

$$l = k_1 \beta_1 + \dots + k_m \beta_m, \quad k_i \in K \quad (\text{unique!})$$

Since $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ basis for K/F ,

$$k_i = f_{i1} \alpha_1 + \dots + f_{in} \alpha_n, \quad f_{ij} \in F \quad (\text{unique!})$$

So

$$l = f_{11} \beta_1 \alpha_1 + f_{12} \beta_1 \alpha_2 + \dots + f_{nm} \beta_n \alpha_m \quad (\text{unique!})$$

Now, if RHS is infinite, LHS is also infinite since

$$[L:F] \geq [L:K] \quad \text{and} \quad [L:F] \geq [K:F]$$

□

Cor: $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$.

- a) If L/K and K/F are both finite, so is L/F
- b) If L/K and K/F are both algebraic, so is L/F

Pf: a) follows from the Tower Law.

b) Let $\beta \in L$, and consider

$$m_{\beta, K}(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 \in K[x].$$

Since simple alg. ext's are finite (w/ degree equal to deg. min'l poly.), $F(\beta)/F$ is finite since

$$F \subseteq F(a_0) \subseteq F(a_0, a_1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq F(a_0, \dots, a_n) \subseteq F(a_0, \dots, a_n, \beta)$$

are simple, alg. ext's. Thus β is alg./F $\forall \beta \in L$, so

L is alg./F. □

Surprising consequences such as:

Ex: $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$

Pf: $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ since $x^n - 2$ is irred.

If $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ and

$3 = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] \underbrace{[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}]}_2$, a contradiction □

Def: If $K_1, K_2 \subseteq L$, the composite $K_1 K_2$ of K_1 and K_2 is the smallest field containing K_1 and K_2 .

E.g. a) $F(\alpha) F(\beta) = F(\alpha, \beta)$

b) $\underbrace{Q(\sqrt{2}) Q(\sqrt[3]{2})}_K = Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}) \stackrel{*}{=} Q(\sqrt[6]{2}) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}$

Pf 1 of *: $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2} \in Q(\sqrt[6]{2})$

$$\sqrt[6]{2} = \sqrt{2}/\sqrt[3]{2} \in Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})$$

Pf 2 of *: $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2} \in Q(\sqrt[6]{2})$

$$[Q(\sqrt[6]{2}) : Q] = 6 \mid [Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}) : Q],$$

since 2 and
3 divide it

so $[Q(\sqrt[6]{2}) : Q(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})] = 1 \Rightarrow \text{they are equal}$

Prop: Let K_1/F , K_2/F be finite extns w/ $K_1, K_2 \in L$.

$$a) [K_1 K_2 : K_2] \leq [K_1 : F]$$

$$b) [K_1 K_2 : F] \leq [K_1 : F][K_2 : F]$$

PF: Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis for K_1 over F .

$$K = \{f_1\alpha_1 + \dots + f_n\alpha_n \mid f_i \in K_2\}$$

We have $K_1 \subseteq K$, $K_2 \subseteq K$, and $\dim_{K_2} K \leq n$, so if it's a field it is $K_1 K_2$, and a) will hold.

Closed under $+, -$: yes, since K is a V.S.

Closed under \cdot :

Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ is an F -basis for K_1 , write

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j = \sum_k h_k \alpha_k$$

$\in F \subseteq K_2$

Then,

$$(f_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + f_n \alpha_n)(g_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + g_n \alpha_n)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} f_i g_j \underbrace{\alpha_i \alpha_j}_{\in K_2} = \sum_{i,j,k} f_i g_j h_k \alpha_k = \sum_k \left(\underbrace{\sum_{i,j} f_i g_j h_k}_{\in K_2} \right) \alpha_k$$

Inverses: Let $\gamma \in K \setminus \{0\}$, and consider the K_2 -linear transformation

$$T_\gamma : K \rightarrow K \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{additive gp. homom.,} \\ \text{but } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ ring homom.} \end{array} \right)$$

$$a \mapsto a\gamma$$

Since L is an integral domain,

$\ker(T_\gamma) = \{0\}$, so by the rank-nullity theorem,

$$\dim \text{im } T_\gamma + \underbrace{\dim \ker T_\gamma}_0 = n, \text{ so } T_\gamma \text{ is onto.}$$

Thus γ has inverse $T_\gamma^{-1}(1) \in K$.

b) Using the Tower Law,

$$[K_1 : F][K_2 : F] \geq [K_1 K_2 : K_2][K_2 : F] = [K_1 K_2 : F]$$

□

Alternate pf (see D&F): Finite ext's are iterated simple extensions. Prove a) for simple ext's by considering degrees of min'l polys, and use induction for the general case