## Math 418, Spring 2024 – Practice Problems 2

13.2.6 Prove directly from the definitions that the field  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is the composite of the fields  $F(a_1), F(a_2), \ldots, F(a_n)$ .

**Solution.**  $F(a_1, ldots, a_n)$  is the smallest field containing  $F, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . This must contain  $F(a_1), \ldots, F(a_n)$ , so it contains their composite. Conversely, any field containg all of  $F(a_1), \ldots, F(a_n)$  contains F and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , so it contains  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , and the composite by definition is such a field.

13.3.1 Prove that it is impossible to construct the regular 9-gon.

**Solution.** Consider the triple angle formula for cosines:  $\cos \theta = 4 \cos^3(\theta/3) - 3 \cos(\theta/3)$ . Substituting  $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ , we see that  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  is a root of  $4x^3 - 3x + \frac{1}{2}$ , so  $2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{9}$  is a root of  $x^3 - 3x + 1$ . this is irreducible by the rational root theorem, so  $[\mathbb{Q}(\cos \frac{2\pi}{9}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ , which is not a power of 2. Since the interior angle of a regular 9-gon has angle  $\pi - \frac{2\pi}{9}$ , the regular 9-gon is not constructible. (See Dummit and Foote, pp. 534 for more details on this argument).

13.4.4 Determine the splitting field and its degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for  $f(x) = x^6 - 4$ .

**Solution.** This is a difference of squares, so  $f(x) = (x^3 + 2)(x^3 - 2)$ . The roots of  $x^3 - 2$  are  $\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta \sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta^2 \sqrt[3]{2}$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive cube root of 1 and  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is the unique positive real cube root of 2. The roots of  $x^3 - 2$  are cube roots of -2 i.e. the negatives of the cube roots of 2. Thus, the splitting field of f(x) is just the splitting field of  $x^3 - 2$  i.e.  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[3]{2})$ , and this has degree 6.

13.5.2 Find all irreducible polynomials of degrees 1, 2 and 4 over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and prove that their product is  $x^{16} - x$ .

**Solution.** This is a simple (if tedious) check. I'll mention that it's an example of a more general phenomenon, which we'll cover soon.

13.5.4 Let a > 1 be an integer. Prove for any positive integers n, d that d divides n if and only if  $a^d - 1$  divides  $a^n - 1$ . Conclude in particular that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  if and only if d divides n.

**Solution.** The first statement follows by setting x = a in Problem 13.5.3, which was a homework problem. The second follows from setting a = p:  $p^d - 1$  divides  $p^n - 1$ if an only if d|n. Therefore, applying 13.5.3 again,  $x^{p^d-1} - 1$  divides  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  if and only if d|n. Multiplying by x,  $x^{p^d} - x$  divides  $x^{p^n} - x$  if and only if d|n. Now the result follows since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  is the set of all roots of  $x^{p^m} - x$  lying in a fixed algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . 13.6.6 Prove that for n odd, n > 1 that  $\Phi_{2n}(x) = \Phi_n(-x)$ 

**Solution.** The map  $\zeta \mapsto -\zeta$  is a bijection between primitive roots of  $\Phi_n$  and  $\Phi_{2n}$ , and there are an even number of each (check these facts yourself). Therefore,

$$\Phi_n(-x) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_n} (-x - \zeta) = (-1)^{|\mu_n|} \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_n} (x + \zeta) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_n} (x + \zeta) = \Phi_{2n}(x).$$

13.6.10 Let  $\phi$  denote the Frobenius map  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . Prove that  $\phi$  gives an automorphism of order n

**Solution.** We've already proved  $\phi$  is an automorphism, since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a finite field. Now,  $\phi^n(a) = a^{p^n} = a$  since the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^{\times}$  has  $p^{n-1}$  elements. Therefore, the order of  $\phi$  divides n. Conversely, if  $\phi$  has order d then every element of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^{p^d} - x$ , and if d < n this is more roots than the degree of the polynomial.

14.1.1 (a) Show that if the field K is generated over F by the elements a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub> then an automorphism a of K fixing F is uniquely determined by σ(a<sub>1</sub>),...,σ(a<sub>n</sub>). In particular, show that an automorphism fixes K if and only if it fixes a set of generators for K.

**Solution.** Let  $\sigma, \sigma'$  be two elements of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K/F)$  with the same images of  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . Let  $E = \{b \in K | \sigma(b) = \sigma'(b)\} \subseteq K$ . Then E contains F and  $a_n, \ldots, a_n$ . However, E must be a field since if  $b, c \in E$ ,  $\sigma(b+c) = \sigma(b) + \sigma(c) = \sigma'(b) + \sigma'(c) = \sigma'(b+c)$ , and similarly for multiplication. Therefore, E = K since K is the smallest field containing  $F, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ .

The second statement follows from the first.

(b) Let G ≤ Gal(K/F) be a subgroup of the Galois group of the extension K/F and suppose σ<sub>1</sub>,..., σ<sub>k</sub> are generators for G. Show that the subfield E of K containing F is fixed by G if and only if it is fixed by the generators σ<sub>1</sub>,..., σ<sub>k</sub>.

**Solution.** This is similar to the above. If E is not fixed by  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ , it certainly isn't fixed by all of G. On the other hand, the subset of  $\operatorname{Gal}(K/F)$  fixing E must be a subgroup (proof: if  $\sigma(b) = b, \sigma'(b) = b$ , then  $\sigma\sigma'(b) = b$ , and similarly for inverse), so if E is fixed by  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ , it is fixed by G.

14.1.9 Determine the fixed field of the automorphism  $\phi : t \mapsto t+1$  of k(t)

**Solution.** One can show directly that this indeed determines a unique automorphism. Let f(t) = p(t)/q(t), where  $p, q \in k[t]$  are relatively prime, and p is monic. If  $f(x) \in Fix(\phi)$ , then f(t+1) = f(t), so p(t+1)/q(t+1) = p(t)/q(t), so p(t+1)q(t) = p(t)q(t+1). If  $p(t+1) \neq p(t)$ , then they have no common (nonunit) factor since they are monic of the same degree. But then p(t) is coprime with both factors, p(t+1) and q(t) on the right side, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, p(t) = p(t+1), and by a similar argument q(t) = q(t+1). Therefore, Fix( $\phi$ ) is the set of functions f(t) = p(t)/q(t), where  $p, q \in k[t]$  are relatively prime, p is monic, and p(t) = p(t+1), q(t) = q(t+1). We only need to determine which polynomials have this property.

For any root  $\alpha$  of f we have  $0 = f(\alpha) = f(\alpha + 1) = f(\alpha + 2) = \cdots$ , so if char k = 0, f has no root in any field i.e.  $f(t) \in k$ . If char k = p, then let  $\lambda(t) = t(t+1)\cdots(t+p-1) \in k[t]$ . We have  $\lambda(t) = \lambda(t+1)$ , and any polynomial in k[t] generated by  $\lambda$  and elements of k (e.g.  $\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 5$ ) also has this property. Conversely, let f(t) = f(t+1), and let f(0) = a. Then q(t) = f(t) - a has the same property, and q(0) = 0, so  $q(1) = q(2) = \cdots = q(p-1) = 0$ , and so  $\lambda|q$ . By induction, every polynomial fixed by  $\phi$  is a multiple of  $\lambda$  plus a constant, and therefore the fixed field consists of rational functions where both numerator and denominator are generated by  $\lambda$  and k.

14.1.10 Let K be an extension of the field F. Let  $\phi: K \to K'$  be an isomorphism of K with a field K' which maps F to the subfield F' of K'. Prove that the map  $\sigma \mapsto \phi \sigma \phi^{-1}$  defines a group isomorphism  $Aut(K/F) \to Aut(K'/F)$ .

**Solution.** If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(K/F)$ , then we first need to show that  $\sigma' := \phi \sigma \phi^{-1}$  is indeed an element of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K'/F')$ . Since  $\sigma$  is the composition of three isomorphisms, it is itself an isomorphism, hence in  $\operatorname{Aut}(K')$ . Since  $\sigma$  fixes F, if  $a \in F'$ , then  $\phi^{-1}(a) \in F$ , so  $\sigma'(a) = \phi(\sigma(\phi^{-1}(a))) = a$ , and  $\sigma' \in \operatorname{Aut}(K'/F')$ .

Now, if  $\sigma, \tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(K/F)$ , then  $\sigma\tau \mapsto \phi\sigma\tau\phi^{-1} = \phi\sigma\phi^{-1}\cdot\phi\tau\phi^{-1}$ , so this map is a homomorphism. It is injective since if  $\phi\sigma\phi^{-1} = \phi\tau\phi^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma = \phi^{-1}\phi\sigma\phi^{-1}\phi = \phi^{-1}\phi\tau\phi^{-1}\phi = \tau$ . Finally, for surjectivity, suppose that  $\sigma' \in \operatorname{Aut}(K'/F')$ . Then setting  $\sigma := \phi^{-1}\sigma'\phi$ , we have  $\sigma \mapsto \phi\sigma\phi^{-1} = \phi\phi^{-1}\sigma'\phi\phi^{-1} = \sigma'$ .