

# Announcements

HW2 posted (due Wed. 1/31 @ 9am)

## Unique factorization domains

Minor correction:  $R$ : integral domain.

If  $r \neq 0$ ,  $(r)$  prime ideal  $\Leftrightarrow r$  prime elt.

Recall/def:  $R$  integral domain,  $r \in R$ ,  $r \neq 0$ , non unit

- Irreducible:  $r = ab \Rightarrow a$  or  $b$  is a unit (prime  $\Rightarrow$  irred.)
- Prime:  $r | ab \Rightarrow r | a$  or  $r | b$
- $r$  and  $s$  are associates if  $r | s$  and  $s | r$   
(i.e. if  $r = us$ ,  $u$ : unit)

Goal for today: use factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  to prove

Thm (Fermat): Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be prime. Then  $p$  is the sum of two squares:  $p = a^2 + b^2$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  iff  $p = 2$  or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

This expression is unique up to order & sign.

Def: An integral domain  $R$  is a unique factorization domain if  $\forall$  nonzero nonunit  $r \in R$ ,

a)  $r = p_1 \cdots p_n$  w/  $p_i \in R$  irred.

b) If also  $r = q_1 \cdots q_m$  w/  $q_i$  irred., then

$m=n$  and there is some permutation  $\sigma$  of  $1, \dots, n$   
s.t.  $p_i$  is an assoc. of  $q_{\sigma(i)}$

Soon: PID  $\Rightarrow$  UFD

Prop: Let  $R$ : UFD,  $r, s \in R$

a)  $r$  irred.  $\Rightarrow$   $r$  prime

b) If  $r = u p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n}$ ,  $s = v p_1^{f_1} \cdots p_n^{f_n}$

where  $u, v$ : units and  $p_i$  irreds. which are pairwise non-associates, then

$$d := p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} \cdots p_n^{\min(e_n, f_n)}$$

is a gcd of  $r$  and  $s$ .

Pf: a) Let  $r$ : irred. and suppose  $r|ab$  i.e.  $ab=cr$ .

Expand both sides as prods. of irreducibles:

$$(a_1 \cdots a_j)(b_1 \cdots b_k) = (c_1 \cdots c_\ell) r,$$

and since  $R$  is a UFD, some  $a_i$  or  $b_i$  is an assoc. of  $r$ , so  $r|a$  or  $r|b$ .

b)  $d|r$  since

$$r = d u p_1^{e_1 - \min(e_1, f_1)} \cdots p_n^{\overbrace{e_n - \min(e_n, f_n)}^{\geq 0}},$$

and similarly  $d|s$ . Let  $c$  be any common divisor of  $r$  and  $s$ , w/ irred. factorization

$$c = q_1^{g_1} \cdots q_m^{g_m}.$$

Since each  $q_i|c$ ,  $q_i|a$  and  $q_i|b$ , so since irred  $\Rightarrow$  prime,  $q_i|p_j$  for some  $j$ . Since  $p_j$ : irred., they are associates, and we must also have  $g_i \leq \min(e_j, f_j)$  since  $q_i$  can't divide any other  $p_j$ .

Cancel, and proceed by induction.  $\square$

Thm:  $R$  PID  $\Rightarrow R$  UFD:

Pf: Let  $r \in R$ . WTS  $r$  has a unique prime factorization  
b) a)

a) If  $r$  irred., done. Otherwise,  $r = r_1 r_2$  where  $r_1, r_2$  nonunits. Treat  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  similarly, and if eventually the process terminates,  $r$  has a prime factorization. If the process doesn't terminate, then  $\exists$  elts.  $r_1, r_2, \dots \in R$  s.t.

$$(r) \subsetneq (r_1) \subsetneq (r_2) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq R.$$

(uses axiom of choice)

Let  $I = \bigcup_k (r_k)$ ; since  $R$  is a PID,  $I = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$ . Since  $a \in I$ ,  $\exists k$  s.t.  $a \in (r_k)$ , but then  $(r_{k+1}) \subseteq I = (a) \subseteq (r_k)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $r$  has a prime factorization.

Corollary of this argument: PID's are Noetherian  
i.e. they don't have an infinite ascending chain  
of ideals  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$

b) Suppose  $r = \underbrace{p_1 \dots p_n = q_1 \dots q_m}_{\text{irreds.}}$

Since  $R$  is a PID, irred  $\Leftrightarrow$  prime. Since  $p_1 | r$ ,  
 $p_1 | q_i$  for some  $i$  i.e.  $p_1 u = q_i$ . Since  $q_i$  irred.,  
 $u$  is a unit, so  $p_1, q_i$  are associates. Cancel  
to obtain

$$p_2 \dots p_n = (u^{-1} q_i) \dots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \dots q_m,$$

and proceed by induction. □

Thm (Fermat): Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be an odd prime. Then

$$p = a^2 + b^2, a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \iff p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

This expression is unique up to order & sign.

Recall the Euclidean norm  $N: \mathbb{Z}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  given by

$$N(a+bi) = |a+bi|^2 = a^2 + b^2$$

- $N(rs) = N(r)N(s)$  since  $|\cdot|$  is multiplicative
- $N(z) = 1 \iff z$  is a unit  $\iff z = \pm 1$  or  $\pm i$

Lemma:  $p = a^2 + b^2 \iff p$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Pf:  $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $p = a^2 + b^2$ , then in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,

$p = (a+bi)(a-bi)$ , and neither factor is a unit since  $N(a \pm bi) = a^2 + b^2 = p \neq 1$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $p = rs$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  nonunits. Then

$p^2 = N(p) = N(r)N(s)$ , and since  $r$  and  $s$  are nonunits

$N(r) \neq 1, N(s) \neq 1$ , so we must have

$N(r) = N(s) = p$ . If  $r = a+bi$ , then

$$p = N(r) = a^2 + b^2.$$

□

Pf of Thm.:

$\Rightarrow$  If  $p = a^2 + b^2$ , then  $p \equiv a^2 + b^2 \pmod{4}$ .

But this is impossible if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  since all squares are  $\equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime w/  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and let  $p = 4n + 1$ . Let  $a = (2n)! = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= (2n!)^2 (-1)^{2n} \\ &= (2n!) [(-2n)(-2n+1) \cdots (-2)(-1)] \\ &\equiv (1 \cdot 2 \cdots 2n)(2n+1 \cdots 4n) \\ &= (p-1)! \end{aligned}$$

$$\curvearrowright \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

by Wilson's Theorem,

So  $p \mid a^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $p$  is irred in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $p$  is prime since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID. Since

$a^2 + 1 = (a+i)(a-i)$ , we must have  $p|a+i$  or  $p|a-i$ .

But this is impossible since  $p(c+di) = pc + pdi$ .

Therefore  $p$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{K}[i]$ , so by the lemma has the desired form.

Uniqueness is a consequence of unique factorization in  $\mathbb{K}[i]$ . □